

SONNENSCHIEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP
601 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET, SUITE 1500
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017
(213) 623-9300

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



The motion by defendants Jay Rothstein and China Venture Films, LLC, a California Limited Liability Company ("Defendants") to vacate the defaults entered against them was heard on November 1, 2007 in Room 302 of the San Francisco County Superior Court, located at 400 McAllister St., San Francisco, California. George Rush, Esq. appeared on behalf of the defendants. John Walker, Esq. appeared on behalf of the plaintiffs.

Having considered the papers filed in support of and in opposition to the motion and the arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the motion is DENIED. The Court finds that the motion before it is a motion for reconsideration of the Court's February 28, 2007 and April 2, 2007 rulings denying Defendants' prior motions to vacate their defaults. The motion before the Court is denied because Defendants have not complied with California Code of Civil Procedure §1008's mandatory requirements for bringing reconsideration motions. Specifically, the Court finds that Defendants' motion is not timely and is not based on "new or different facts, circumstances or law" as required by California Code of Civil Procedure § 1008(a).

The Court awards Plaintiffs \$4,200.00 in sanctions against Defendants and their counsel of record, George M. Rush, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 1008(d). The sanctions must be paid within thirty days of this order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: November 8, 2007
DEC 1 8 2007


 The Honorable Patrick J. Mahoney
Superior Court Judge, Presiding

SEE EXHIBIT "A" RE
COMPLIANCE WITH CRC 3.1312

Katherine Eddy
415.882.0359
keddy@sonnenschein.com

525 Market Street
26th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105-2708
415.882.5000
415.882.0300 fax
www.sonnenschein.com

NOV 28 2007

November 27, 2007

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Deputy Clerk G. Peerless
San Francisco Superior Court
Law & Motion/Writs and Receivers
Department 302
400 McAllister Street
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Winifred Huang v. Jay Rothstein, et al. SFSC Civil No. CGC-06-455390

Dear Ms. Peerless:

This responds to your questions regarding Plaintiffs' "[Proposed] Order Denying Motion for Reconsideration; Award of \$4,200.00 in Sanctions Against Defendants China Venture Films, LLC, Jay Rothstein, and Their Counsel of Record, George M. Rush" (copy attached).

Pursuant to CRC 3.1312, the proposed order was sent to Defendants' counsel, George Rush, on November 7, 2007. Mr. Rush did not object to the proposed order. The November 19 proof of service was prepared in conformance with office practice to simultaneously serve opposing parties with filings/orders tendered to the Court. We apologize for any confusion.

The timeframe to pay the sanctions amount is not governed by any code provision, and Judge Mahoney did not indicate a timeframe in his ruling. Plaintiffs suggested the thirty day period in the proposed order, and Defendants did not object. Judge Mahoney has discretion to reduce or lengthen the thirty day period.

We hope that this answers your questions. If you require anything further, please let us know. Alternatively, we request that the Court execute the order as drafted, have it entered by the clerk, and have the clerk return a copy to our office in the postage-paid envelope enclosed.


EXHIBIT 



Deputy Clerk G. Peerless
November 27, 2007
Page 2

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Katherine Eddy". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the printed name.

Katherine Eddy

Enclosures